UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

Case No.: 2:12-cv-06333-KM-MCA

JAMES BURT, an individual,

Plaintiff,

٧.

KEY TRADING LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, RAFAEL DENOYO, an individual; DAVID SULLIVAN, an individual; PETER LARKIN, an individual; CHRISTINE DENOYO, an individual; ALYSSA COHEN, an individual; and CATHERINE LARKIN, an individual.

Defendants.

FINAL LUDGMENT FOR PLAINTIFE VAMES BYRE. AGAINST DEFENDANTS, KEY PRADING LLG. RAFAEL DENOYS, DAVID SULLIVAY, AND PETER DARKIN

THIS MATTER was brought before the Court on May 6, 2014 upon the motion filed by Plaintiff WMES BURT, as individual for inter alia entry of a final judgment against Defendants KEY TRADING LLC, a Delaware limited hability company; RAFAEL DENOYO, an individual DAVID SULLIVAN, an individual (KEY TRADING, Mr. deNoyo, and Mr. Sullivan collectively deemed the KEY TRADING DEFENDANTS"), and PETER LARKIN, an individual, jointly and severally [DE 100]. The Court has considered the moving papers and has been otherwise duly advised in the circumstances surrounding the motion; and states as follows:

WHEREFORE, in October 2012, Plaintiff filed the instant lawsuit for breach of contract and for damages. [Docket Entry No. ("DE") 1].

WHEREFORE, on October 18, 2013, after approximately a year of protracted litigation, several of the parties (Plaintiff and Defendants KEY TRADING LLC, RAFAEL DENOYO, DAVID SULLIVAN [KEY TRADING, Mr. deNoyo, and Mr. Sullivan collectively deemed "the KEY TRADING DEFENDANTS"], and PETER LARKIN) appeared before the Court at a Settlement Conference and informed the Court that they had negotiated a provisional settlement s [see, October 23, 2013 Court that would resolve all claims/defenses as to all parties in the case nted in open court; Docket "Minute Entry"]. The terms of the provisional se and Plaintiff, the KEY TRADING DEFENDANTS and Mr. "Settlement written agreement memorializing parties eement is at ached as Exhibit "A". Agreement"). A true and complete copy of the Settlem

WHEREFORE, Section 7 Default of the Settlement Agreement provides the following in pertinent pages.

event that the Key Trading te Tatal Settlement id pavable and he prevailing rate es and costs relative to Settlement Agreement, ts and Larkin, jointly and ed to, confer to, and not to be opposed by the * * Should the Key in fail to cure the default within the enced above, then the entire unpaid portion of the llement Amount shall be accelerated and immediately due and payable and, upon Burt's filing for relief from the Court, Burt shall be entitled to the entry of a final judgment/award against the Key Trading Defendants and Larkin, jointly and severally, in the Total Settlement Amount, plus prejudgment interest at the prevailing rate and the reasonable fees and costs incurred in enforcing this Settlement Agreement, less any money received by Burt pursuant to this Settlement Agreement.

(Emphasis added).

WHEREFORE, subsequent to the parties' execution of the Settlement Agreement and their recitation on the court record of the negotiated terms of the settlement, the KEY TRADING DEFENDANTS and Mr. Larkin defaulted on their obligations under the Settlement Agreement by failing to make any of the payments required of them under the Settlement Agreement. Despite having been provided due notice and a fair opportunity to cure, the KEY TRADING DEFENDANTS and Mr. Larkin never cured their default.

WHEREFORE, on May 6, 2014, counser to Plaintiff, the KEY TRADING DEFENDANTS, and Mr. Larkin appeared before the Court at a Court-ordered telephone conference to discuss, *inter alia*, Plaintiff varialization for entry of a Final Ladgerent against the KEY TRADING DEFENDANTS and Mr. Earkin [DF 103, 104].

WHEREFORE, at the May 6, 2014 he ring this Court of lered, inter all that a Final Judgment be entered against the KEY TRADING DEFENDANTS and Mr. Carkin in accordance with the terms of Section 7 ("Default") of the Settlement Agreement, to with

I jon't think thereis any dispute here that there has been a legallt by the Key Trading defendants and Lantin on the payment obligations that yere set forth in that agreement

And (C) [of the Vettlement Agreement] talks about — let's see, hold on — what appears in the event of default. And in event of default by Ce). Trading and Larkin, it is agreed that the entire unpaid fortion of the settlement shall be accelerated and — due and regard, and that Burt shall be entitled to entry of the Court by single judgment as well as prejudgment interest at the prevailing rate and award of attorney — reasonable attorney's fees and costs.²

¹ See, Transcript of May 6, 2014 hearing at Page 4: Lines 10-13 [DE 111] (emphasis added).

² Id. at Page 5: Lines 7-14 (emphasis added).

WHEREFORE, because no payments were made by the KEY TRADING DEFENDANTS and Mr. Larkin, the Final Judgment to be entered against them, jointly and severally, will be in the amount of One Million Eight Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$1,800,000.00) plus prejudgment interest at the prevailing rate and the reasonable fees and costs incurred by BURT in enforcing the Settlement Agreement.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED as follows:

- (1) Plaintiff's address is c/o Silver Law Group, 11780 West Jample Road, Coral Springs, FL 33065.
- (2) The last known address for XXY TRADING LLC is 32 Broadway Suite 1404, New York, NY 10004.
- (3) The last known address for RAFAEs DENOYO is 110 21st SCIE, Salem, OR 97301.
- (4) The last known address for DAVID SUILLYAN is 7.1 Beaumont Drive, Melville, NY 11747-3431.
- (5) The last known address for PETER LACKEY is 6 Grandview Road, Central Valley, NY 10917.
- (6) Plantiff JAMES LUCT, an individual, shall have and recover jointly and severally from Defendant KEY TRADNG LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; RAFAEL DENOYO, an individual; DAVID SULLIVAN, an individual; PETER LARKIN, an individual, the principal sum of One Million Eight Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$1,800,000.00) as compensatory damages.
- Pursuant to N.J. Ct. R. 4:42-11, prejudgment interest is awarded, running from at the fequily water.

 September 7, 2010 to the date of entry of Final Judgment, in the amount of

- [8] Plaintiff's counsel shall have twenty (20) days from the date of entry of Final Judgment to provide the Court, in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 54 and Local Civil Rule 54.1, a detailed affidavit with an accounting of its reasonable attorneys' fees and taxable costs, as well as any other exhibits counsel feels are necessary in support of the fee petition, to calculate the total fees and costs due and payable to Plaintiff JAMES BURT by Defendants KEY TRADING LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; RAFAEL LENDYO, an individual; DAVID SULLIVAN, an individual; PETER LARKIN, an individual, jointly and sev rally.
- (9) Post-judgment interest shall accrue at the applicable rate from the date of entry of this judgment in final form until such judgment is satisfied.
- (10) To be clear, this judgment does not an eco. Plaintiff's laims against the other defendants to this lawsuit (CHYISTINE DENOVO), an individual, ALYSSA COHEN, an individual; and CATHERNELARKIN, in individual).
 - (11) All writs necessary for the enforcement of this judgment shall be granted and issued.

 DONE AND ORDERED in Chambers in Newark, New Jersey, this day of

2014.

WONORABLE KEVIN MCNULTY
United States District Judge

cc: all parties and count@ or record